arXiv:1211.5081v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 21 Nov 2012

Stochastic Quantization of the Spherical Model and Supersymmetry

P. F. Bienzobaz,[*] Pedro R. S. Gomes,[†] and M. Gomes[‡]

Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo

Caixa Postal 66318, 05315-970, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Abstract

We show that the supersymmetric extension of the quantum spherical model can be seen as a by-product of the stochastic quantization of the classical spherical model. This is so because of the well know equivalence between the Brownian motion described by means of the Langevin equation and the supersymmetric quantum mechanics, and it is connected with the existence of the Nicolai map.

---

[*] Electronic address: paulafb@if.usp.br

[†] Electronic address: pedrorsg@fma.if.usp.br

[‡] Electronic address: mgomes@fma.if.usp.br

I. INTRODUCTION

The stochastic quantization procedure was proposed by Parisi and Wu [1], as an alternative method to quantize field theories. Roughly speaking, the construction is based in an analogy between Euclidean quantum field theory whose functional integration is weighted by the factor $e^{-\frac{S}{\hbar}}$, with S the Euclidean action, and the Boltzmann distribution of a statistical system in equilibrium $e^{-\frac{U}{K_BT}}$, where U is the energy. By the proposal, to the quantum field it is associated a stochastic process whose evolution follows a Langevin or Fokker-Planck equation. The Green functions of the quantum theory are then obtained as the equilibrium limit of the correlations functions of the stochastic theory. Various investigations have been reported on its conceptual/technical aspects and the method has been applied to numerical simulations in lattice field theories (see [2–4] and the references therein).

From another point of view, stochastic quantization provides connections between field theoretical models. Indeed, it relates a classical theory in D dimensions with a theory in $D+1$ dimensions, because it introduces an additional "time"direction in the system, a fictitious time whose evolution is governed by the Langevin equation. In this sense, stochastic quantization would be interpreted as a prescription for the construction of higher dimensional field theories, without taking the equilibrium limit and consequently considering the fictitious time as a physical parameter. A modern and related approach of these aspects is presented in [5].

The last observations may be positively exploited in favor of the quantization of the classical spherical model [6], as explained from now on. The Hamiltonian of the model, in the presence of an external field h, is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_c = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} J_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} S_{\mathbf{r}} S_{\mathbf{r}'} + h \sum_{\mathbf{r}} S_{\mathbf{r}}, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{r}'$ are lattice vectors, $\{S_{\mathbf{r}}\}$ is a set of spin variables that can assume continuous values, $-\infty < S_{\mathbf{r}} < \infty$, in a D-dimensional hypercubic lattice with periodic boundary conditions; $J_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}$ is the interaction energy that depends only on the distance between the sites $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{r}'$, $J_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} \equiv J(|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|)$. The $S_{\mathbf{r}}$ variables are subject to the spherical constraint,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{r}} S_{\mathbf{r}}^2 = N, \tag{2}$$

where N is the total number of lattice sites. The first step towards the quantization of the model is to provide a dynamic to the system. This can be done by including in the above Hamiltonian a term involving the conjugated momenta of the spin variable or, equivalently, by adding to its Lagrangian a kinetic term containing time derivatives of the spin variables. However, there is no unique way to choose the form of these terms and, moreover, different forms yield different dynamical behavior [7–9]. The stochastic quantization furnishes a natural framework to ameliorate this situation, because the spin variables are assumed to satisfy the Langevin equation, which then gives rise to a dynamic for the system, with the identification of the fictitious time as the ordinary time. As a result of this process we are led naturally to a supersymmetric theory. Complementing our recent work on the supersymmetric spherical model [10] and proceeding with applications of field-theoretical methods to the study of statistical mechanics, we intend here to discuss in detail these issues.

Our work is organized as follows. We start by reviewing some aspects of stochastic quantization in quantum field theory and in quantum mechanics, that are important for discussions in the subsequent sections. In section III, we study the stochastic quantization of the mean spherical model and compare it with other approaches. Section IV is devoted to the construction of supersymmetric quantum spherical model from the classical one. A summary of the work is presented in section V.

II. SOME REMARKS ON STOCHASTIC QUANTIZATION

Before considering the stochastic quantization studies of the spherical model, let us initially outline some basic steps of the prescription for the stochastic quantization of a scalar field. Then we discuss the equivalence between Langevin equation and supersymmetric quantum mechanics. This simple analysis is an important point of our discussions to be performed in the next sections.

A. Stochastic Quantization of a Scalar Field Theory

In a general setting, the stochastic quantization of a field theory model, with a scalar field $\phi(x)$ depending on D-dimensional Euclidean space-time coordinates x and specified by an action S, proceeds as follows. The field $\phi(x)$ is assumed to depend on a fictitious time τ, $\phi(x) \to \phi(x,\tau)$, such that the evolution in this variable is governed by the Langevin equation

$$\frac{\partial \phi(x,\tau)}{\partial \tau} = -\frac{\kappa}{2}\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi(x,\tau)} + \eta(x,\tau), \tag{3}$$

where κ is the diffusion coefficient that can be conveniently chosen (to facilitate the comparison with other works), $\eta(x,\tau)$ is a noise with a Gaussian distribution,

$$\langle \eta(x,\tau)\rangle = 0, \quad \big\langle \eta(x,\tau)\eta(x',\tau')\big\rangle = \kappa\,\delta(\tau-\tau')\delta^D(x-x') \tag{4}$$

and S is the Euclidean action that must include also an integration over the fictitious time,

$$S = \int d^D x d\tau \mathcal{L}(\phi(x,\tau), \partial_\mu \phi(x,\tau)). \tag{5}$$

The theory arising from this process is a $D+1$-dimensional one. Let us describe how to come back to a D-dimensional but quantum theory. For a specified initial condition in the fictitious time, the Langevin equation (3) has the noise-dependent solution $\phi_\eta(x,\tau)$ whose correlation functions are defined as

$$\langle \phi_\eta(x_1,\tau_1) \cdots \phi_\eta(x_N,\tau_N) \rangle_\eta \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\eta \; \phi_\eta(x_1,\tau_1) \cdots \phi_\eta(x_N,\tau_N) P[\eta], \tag{6}$$

with the normalized probability

$$P[\eta] = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^D x d\tau \; \eta^2(x,\tau) \right] \tag{7}$$

and partition function

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\eta \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^D x d\tau \; \eta^2(x,\tau) \right]. \tag{8}$$

The equivalence of this procedure with other quantization methods is established at the level of the Green functions [1]. In fact, it has been shown that under certain conditions which include the semi-positivity of the associated Fokker-Planck Hamiltonian, if we take $\tau_1 = \cdots = \tau_N \equiv \tau$ and then take the equilibrium limit $\tau \to \infty$, we obtain the time-ordered Green functions of the quantized field theory,

$$\lim_{\tau \to \infty} \langle \phi(x_1,\tau) \cdots \phi(x_n,\tau) \rangle_\eta = \langle 0 | T \phi(x_1) \cdots \phi(x_N) | 0 \rangle. \tag{9}$$

Shortly, the quantization procedure above described can be summarized as follows. We start with an action in D-dimensional Euclidean space-time. The field is assumed to depend on a new time coordinate so that the resulting theory is a $D+1$-dimensional one. In order to reach the quantum theory we take the equilibrium limit so eliminating the fictitious time and returning to a D-dimensional situation.

However, as argued before, we may take a different route and consider this process as a prescription for the construction of $D+1$-dimensional theories from D-dimensional ones. In this work we are interested in the $D+1$-dimensional emerging theory itself. In quantum mechanics, for example, this establishes a quite interesting and well know connection between the Brownian motion and the supersymmetric quantum mechanics, to be presented now.

B. Langevin Equation and Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics

Let us start by considering a point particle whose spatial coordinate is x. Following the stochastic quantization prescription, the coordinate x is assumed to depend on the fictitious time τ, $x \to x(\tau)$. As mentioned before, we will not eliminate the fictitious time in the end of the process, but instead we will identify it just as the ordinary time. The Langevin equation (with $\kappa = 1$) reads

$$\frac{dx(\tau)}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta S}{\delta x} + \eta(\tau), \tag{10}$$

that describes a Brownian motion of the particle in a heat bath subjected to a random noise. The partition function is given by the integral over the noise

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\eta \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\int d\tau\ \eta^2(\tau)\right]. \tag{11}$$

We perform a change of variable of integration $\eta(\tau) \to x(\tau)$ defined by the Langevin equation (10) to write the partition function as

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}x \det\left[\frac{\delta\eta(\tau)}{\delta x(\tau')}\right] \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\int d\tau \left(\frac{dx(\tau)}{d\tau} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta S}{\delta x}\right)^2\right\}. \tag{12}$$

The determinant can be written as an integral over anticommuting (Grassmann) variables ψ and $\bar{\psi}$,

$$\det\left[\frac{\delta\eta(\tau)}{\delta x(\tau')}\right] = \int \mathcal{D}\psi\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \exp\left[\int d\tau\ \bar{\psi}\left(\frac{d}{d\tau} + V'\right)\psi\right], \tag{13}$$

where we have introduced $V \equiv \frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta S}{\delta x}$ with $V' = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}$. With this, the partition function becomes

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}x\mathcal{D}\psi\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \exp\left\{-\int d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{dx}{d\tau}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}V^2 - \bar{\psi}\left(\frac{d}{d\tau} + V'\right)\psi\right]\right\}, \tag{14}$$

discarding surface terms. From this expression, we may read out the effective Euclidean Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{dx}{d\tau}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}V^2 - \bar{\psi}\left(\frac{d}{d\tau} + V'\right)\psi, \tag{15}$$

that is exactly the Lagrangian of the supersymmetric quantum mechanics [11, 12]. As can be easily verified, it is invariant under the supersymmetry transformations

$$\delta_\epsilon x = -\bar{\psi}\epsilon, \quad \delta_\epsilon\psi = \dot{x}\,\epsilon - V\,\epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_\epsilon\bar{\psi} = 0 \tag{16}$$

and

$$\delta_{\bar{\epsilon}} x = \bar{\epsilon}\psi, \quad \delta_{\bar{\epsilon}}\psi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{\bar{\epsilon}}\bar{\psi} = \bar{\epsilon}\,\dot{x} + \bar{\epsilon}\,V. \tag{17}$$

As usual, this supersymmetry can be cast in a off-shell formulation by introducing an auxiliary field F, so that the Lagrangian becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{dx}{d\tau}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}F^2 + FV - \bar{\psi}\left(\frac{d}{d\tau} + V'\right)\psi. \tag{18}$$

From the off-shell supersymmetry it is straightforward to construct the superspace formalism, which for the quantum mechanics it is constituted by the anticommuting coordinates θ and $\bar{\theta}$ besides the time τ. For example, the superfield is expanded in terms of θ and $\bar{\theta}$

$$\Phi = x + \bar{\psi}\theta + \bar{\theta}\psi + \bar{\theta}\theta F. \tag{19}$$

With the supercovariant derivatives

$$D \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}} + \theta\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{D} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \bar{\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}, \tag{20}$$

we may write the superspace action from the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{D}\Phi D\Phi + L(\Phi) \quad \Rightarrow \quad S = \int d\tau d\theta d\bar{\theta}\, \mathcal{L}, \tag{21}$$

such that $L' \equiv V$. In general, the existence of local or global symmetries implies relations between the Green functions of the corresponding theory, designated generically as Ward identities. In particular, that is the case for the supersymmetry. A natural question then is about the role of the supersymmetry in the case discussed here. Interesting enough is the fact that this supersymmetry is the reflex of the forward and backward time propagation symmetry in an equivalent Fokker-Planck formulation, corresponding to the occurrence of two Fokker-Planck Hamiltonians known in the literature as forward and backward Hamiltonians [13]. Nevertheless, in the equilibrium limit $\tau \to \infty$ only the Hamiltonian associated with forward time propagation will be relevant.

The procedure outlined above is related with the existence of the so called Nicolai map [14]. The Nicolai map deals with the characterization of supersymmetric theories by means of functional integration measures. Roughly speaking, if we start with a supersymmetric theory and then integrate out the fermionic fields from the path integral, this will produce a non-trivial determinant. There exists a transformation (the Nicolai map) for the bosonic fields whose Jacobian cancels exactly the determinant coming from the fermionic integration. The result is just a bosonic free action. In the quantum mechanical case, the Langevin equation constitutes an explicit realization of the Nicolai map! The route from Langevin equation towards the supersymmetric quantum mechanics corresponds to a Nicolai mapping in the inverse direction.

III. STOCHASTIC QUANTIZATION OF THE MEAN SPHERICAL MODEL

In this section, we wish to discuss the stochastic quantization of the mean spherical model whose constraint is imposed as a thermal average $\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\langle S_{\mathbf{r}}^2\rangle = N$ (not strictly as in (2)) in contrast with other approaches like the canonical and the path integral quantization methods applied to this specific model [7, 9, 15–17]. Let us consider the classical Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}g\sum_{\mathbf{r}} P_{\mathbf{r}}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} J_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}S_{\mathbf{r}}S_{\mathbf{r}'} + \mu\left(\sum_{\mathbf{r}} S_{\mathbf{r}}^2 - N\right), \tag{22}$$

where g is a positive parameter associated with the dynamics. In fact, the presence of the kinetic term is only to provide a dynamic to the model, but we will not impose commutation relations between $S_{\mathbf{r}}$ and $P_{\mathbf{r}}$. The quantum character comes from the stochastic process. The corresponding Lagrangian in the Euclidean time (which includes a global signal redefinition) is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2g}\sum_{\mathbf{r}} \dot{S}_{\mathbf{r}}^2(t) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} J_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}S_{\mathbf{r}}(t)S_{\mathbf{r}'}(t) + \mu\left(\sum_{\mathbf{r}} S_{\mathbf{r}}^2(t) - N\right). \tag{23}$$

We shall use the variable t to represent the Euclidean time and the variable τ will be reserved to the fictitious time in the stochastic quantization process. We start the stochastic quantization procedure by assuming that the spin variables depend on the fictitious time $S_{\mathbf{r}}(t) \to S_{\mathbf{r}}(t,\tau)$, whose evolution is governed by the Langevin equation (with $\kappa = 2$),

$$\frac{\partial S_{\mathbf{r}}(t,\tau)}{\partial \tau} = -\frac{\delta S}{\delta S_{\mathbf{r}}(t,\tau)} + \eta_{\mathbf{r}}(t,\tau), \tag{24}$$

where S is the Euclidean action,

$$S = \int dt d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2g}\sum_{\mathbf{r}} \dot{S}_{\mathbf{r}}^2(t,\tau) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} J_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}S_{\mathbf{r}}(t,\tau)S_{\mathbf{r}'}(t,\tau) + \mu\left(\sum_{\mathbf{r}} S_{\mathbf{r}}^2(t,\tau) - N\right)\right], \tag{25}$$

and $\eta_{\mathbf{r}}(t,\tau)$ is the Gaussian noise. It is worth to stress that the Langevin dynamics considered here constitutes just an intermediate step towards the quantization of the model. Notice that the Langevin dynamics itself for the classical spherical model was studied by several authors, for example [18–21].

We will consider the problem of stochastic quantization at finite temperature, that can be put in consonance with the imaginary time formalism approach of field theory. In this situation, the Euclidean or imaginary time is restricted to the interval $[0,\beta]$ and the fields must satisfy periodic or anti-periodic conditions according to their bosonic or fermionic character, respectively, i.e, $\varphi(0) = \pm\varphi(\beta)$. Besides the fields, the noise must also satisfy periodic or anti-periodic conditions.

Namely, for a bosonic or fermionic noise we have $\eta(0) = \pm\eta(\beta)$, assigning to it the same character as the corresponding field. Then, the Gaussian noise average must be adequate to reflect the periodic conditions of the bosonic fields in the imaginary time [4], i.e.,

$$\langle \eta_{\mathbf{r}}(t,\tau)\rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\langle \eta_{\mathbf{r}}(t,\tau)\eta_{\mathbf{r}'}(t',\tau')\right\rangle = \frac{2}{\beta}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{i\omega_n(t-t')}\delta_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}\delta(\tau-\tau'), \tag{26}$$

where ω_n is the Matsubara frequency $\omega_n \equiv 2\pi n/\beta$, with $n \in Z$. It is convenient to introduce the Fourier decomposition of the variables $S_{\mathbf{r}}(t,\tau)$ and $\eta_{\mathbf{r}}(t,\tau)$ taking into account the periodic conditions,

$$S_{\mathbf{r}}(t,\tau) = \left(\frac{\beta}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{q},n} \mathrm{e}^{i(\mathbf{r}\cdot\mathbf{q}+\omega_n t)} S_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega_n,\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{\mathbf{r}}(t,\tau) = \left(\frac{\beta}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{q},n} \mathrm{e}^{i(\mathbf{r}\cdot\mathbf{q}+\omega_n t)}\, \eta_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega_n,\tau). \tag{27}$$

By identifying $J(\mathbf{q})$ as the Fourier transformation of the interaction energy $J_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}$,

$$J(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\mathbf{h}} J(|\mathbf{h}|)\,\mathrm{e}^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{h}}, \tag{28}$$

with $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'$, the Langevin equation in the Fourier space takes the form

$$\frac{\partial S_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega_n,\tau)}{\partial\tau} + \left[\frac{\omega_n^2}{g} + 2\mu + J(\mathbf{q})\right] S_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega_n,\tau) - \eta_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega_n,\tau) = 0, \tag{29}$$

whose general solution is given by

$$S_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega_n,\tau) = S_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega_n,0)\,\mathrm{e}^{-\Omega^2(\mathbf{q},n)\tau} + \int_0^\tau d\tilde{\tau}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\Omega^2(\mathbf{q},n)(\tau-\tilde{\tau})}\,\eta_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega_n,\tilde{\tau}), \tag{30}$$

where $\Omega(\mathbf{q},n)$ is defined as

$$\Omega^2(\mathbf{q},n) \equiv \frac{1}{g}\left[\omega_n^2 + 2g\left(\mu + \frac{J(\mathbf{q})}{2}\right)\right]. \tag{31}$$

From the solution (30) we can determine the correlation functions of the mean spherical model according to (26). As stated early, the correlation functions so obtained must recover those of the quantized spherical model whenever we take the appropriate limit of the n-point function $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \cdots = \tau_n \equiv \tau \to \infty$. In particular, for the 2-point function we get

$$\left\langle S_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega_n,\tau)S_{\mathbf{q}'}(\omega_{n'},\tau')\right\rangle \;=\; \frac{1}{\beta^2\Omega^2(\mathbf{q},n)}\,\delta_{\mathbf{q},-\mathbf{q}'}\delta_{n,-n'}\mathrm{e}^{-\Omega^2(\mathbf{q},n)|\tau-\tau'|}. \tag{32}$$

In this expression we have discarded terms that do not contribute in the equilibrium limit. Then, by taking $\tau = \tau' \to \infty$, we find the correlation function in the momentum space for the quantum spherical model

$$\left\langle S_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega_n)S_{\mathbf{q}'}(\omega_n')\right\rangle = \delta_{\mathbf{q},-\mathbf{q}'}\delta_{n,-n'}\frac{1}{\beta^2}\frac{g}{\left[\omega_n^2 + w_{\mathbf{q}}^2\right]}, \tag{33}$$

where

$$w_{\mathbf{q}}^2 \equiv 2g \left(\mu + \frac{J(\mathbf{q})}{2} \right). \tag{34}$$

Consequently, the correlation function in the coordinate space is given by

$$\langle S_{\mathbf{r}}(t) S_{\mathbf{r}'}(t') \rangle \; = \; \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{g}{2w_{\mathbf{q}}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-(t-t')w_{\mathbf{q}}} + \mathrm{e}^{-[\beta-(t-t')]w_{\mathbf{q}}}}{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\beta w_{\mathbf{q}}}} \, \mathrm{e}^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')}. \tag{35}$$

The equal-time correlation function reduces to,

$$\langle S_{\mathbf{r}} S_{\mathbf{r}'} \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{g}{2w_{\mathbf{q}}} \coth \left(\frac{\beta w_{\mathbf{q}}}{2} \right) \mathrm{e}^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')}, \tag{36}$$

that is the usual quantum pair correlation function of the spherical model (see, for example [16]). We can also obtain the classical correlation function by taking the limit $g \to 0$:

$$\langle S_{\mathbf{r}} S_{\mathbf{r}'} \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{1}{2\beta} \frac{1}{\left(\mu + \frac{J(\mathbf{q})}{2} \right)} \mathrm{e}^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')}. \tag{37}$$

The quantum self-correlation furnishes the constraint condition corresponding to the mean spherical model if we impose $\sum_{\mathbf{r}} \langle S_{\mathbf{r}}^2 \rangle = N$,

$$1 - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{g}{2w_{\mathbf{q}}} \coth \left(\frac{\beta w_{\mathbf{q}}}{2} \right) = 0. \tag{38}$$

The constraint equation (38) may be used to determine the critical behavior of the model [9, 10]. To this purpose it is convenient to parametrize the interaction energy as $J(\mathbf{q}) \sim |\mathbf{q}|^x$ for small values of $|\mathbf{q}|$. The parameter x specifies the range of interactions, for example, for short-range interactions we have $x = 2$. The quantized spherical model is quite interesting in the sense that it exhibits a quantum phase transition, e. g., a phase transition at zero temperature besides the usual finite temperature phase transition.

To sum up, in this section we applied the stochastic method as an alternative way to quantize the spherical model showing how to obtain the quantum pair correlation function as well as the constraint condition after the elimination of the fictitious time. Before closing, we sketch the procedures of canonical and path integral quantization of the mean spherical model discussed by several authors [7, 9, 15–17]. In the canonical quantization scheme, the variables $S_{\mathbf{r}}$ and $P_{\mathbf{r}}$ of the Hamiltonian (22) are promoted to operators analogous that of position and momentum, satisfying the commutation relations

$$[S_{\mathbf{r}}, P_{\mathbf{r}'}] = i\delta_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} \quad \text{and} \quad [S_{\mathbf{r}}, S_{\mathbf{r}'}] = [P_{\mathbf{r}}, P_{\mathbf{r}'}] = 0. \tag{39}$$

The remaining task is the diagonalization of the harmonic oscillator-type Hamiltonian by means of the Bogoliubov transformations. The path integral formulation in the imaginary time formalism, on the other hand, involves a functional integration over the spin variables

$$Z = \int \prod_{\mathbf{r}} \mathcal{D}S_{\mathbf{r}}\, e^{-\int_0^\beta \mathcal{L}}, \tag{40}$$

with $\mathcal{L}$ the Euclidean Lagrangian (23). Using a Fourier decomposition for $S_r(t)$ similarly to (27), taking into account the periodic condition $S_{\mathbf{r}}(0) = S_{\mathbf{r}}(\beta)$ required for bosonic variables at finite temperature, it is straightforward to perform the Gaussian integrals and determine the partition function. From either of these methods we may find the pair correlation function (36) and the constraint condition (38).

IV. SUPERSYMMETRIC SPHERICAL MODEL

Based on the existence of a Nicolai mapping, in this section we will obtain the supersymmetric quantum version of the spherical model from the stochastic quantization procedure of its classical counterpart. Different of previous case, here we will not consider a dynamical model from the beginning. In fact, as we mentioned in the Introduction, there is no necessity of the addition of a kinetic term. On the contrary, the dynamic arises from stochastic quantization scheme as long as we recognize the fictitious time as the ordinary time. For the strict spherical model, to be discussed here, we need to implement the stochastic quantization for constrained systems [22, 23], in which the Langevin equation itself is considered as a constraint. Our approach is similar to that for the case of the field theoretical non-linear σ-model [24].

Let us start with the classical Hamiltonian of the spherical model without external field

$$\mathcal{H}_c = \sum_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} K_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} S_{\mathbf{r}} S_{\mathbf{r}'}, \tag{41}$$

with an interaction energy designated here by $K_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} \equiv K(|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|)$ and under the spherical constraint

$$\sum_{\mathbf{r}} S_{\mathbf{r}}^2 = N. \tag{42}$$

The spin variables are assumed to depend on the fictitious time that we designate by t, $S_{\mathbf{r}} \to S_{\mathbf{r}}(t)$, and the action is given by

$$S = \int dt\, \mathcal{L} = \int dt \left(\sum_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} K_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} S_{\mathbf{r}} S_{\mathbf{r}'} + \sigma \left(\sum_{\mathbf{r}} S_{\mathbf{r}}^2 - N \right) \right). \tag{43}$$

In the functional approach, by integrating over the auxiliary field σ means that we are dealing with the strict spherical model and not the mean spherical model. The Langevin equation is written as

$$\frac{dS_{\mathbf{r}}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta S}{\delta S_{\mathbf{r}}} + \eta_{\mathbf{r}}(t), \tag{44}$$

where $\eta_{\mathbf{r}}$ is the Gaussian noise of each lattice site and we are using now $\kappa = 1$. After calculating the functional derivative of the action with respect to the $S_{\mathbf{r}}$ variable, the Langevin equation takes the form

$$\dot{S}_{\mathbf{r}} = -\sum_{\mathbf{r}'} K_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} S_{\mathbf{r}'} - \sigma S_{\mathbf{r}} + \eta_{\mathbf{r}}. \tag{45}$$

It is convenient to introduce a specific notation to unify the Langevin and the constraint equations. To this end, first we consider the set of $N+1$ fields $(S_{\mathbf{r}}, \sigma)$ as components of a variable $\mathcal{S}_a$:

$$\mathcal{S}_a \equiv \begin{cases} S_{\mathbf{r}}, & a = 1, 2, \cdots, N \\ \sigma, & a = N+1 \end{cases}, \qquad \mathcal{N}_a \equiv \begin{cases} \eta_{\mathbf{r}}, & a = 1, 2, \cdots, N \\ 0, & a = N+1 \end{cases}. \tag{46}$$

Next, we introduce the functions

$$\mathcal{F}_a(\mathcal{S}_a) \equiv \begin{cases} F_{\mathbf{r}}(S_{\mathbf{r}}, \sigma), & a = 1, 2. \cdots, N \\ F(S_{\mathbf{r}}), & a = N+1 \end{cases}, \tag{47}$$

with the definitions

$$F_{\mathbf{r}}(S_{\mathbf{r}}, \sigma) \equiv \dot{S}_{\mathbf{r}} + \sum_{\mathbf{r}'} K_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} S_{\mathbf{r}'} + \sigma S_{\mathbf{r}} \tag{48}$$

and

$$F(S_{\mathbf{r}}) \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{r}} S_{\mathbf{r}}^2 - N. \tag{49}$$

According to this notation, the Langevin and the constraint equations are unified into the equation $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{N}$. The partition function is given by the integral over the noise

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\mathcal{N} \, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\int dt \sum_a \mathcal{N}_a^2}. \tag{50}$$

The integration measure $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{N}$ symbolically stands for the functional integration over all the site lattices, $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{N} \equiv \prod_a \mathcal{D}\mathcal{N}_a = \prod_{\mathbf{r}} \mathcal{D}\eta_{\mathbf{r}}$. We will adopt a procedure similar to that of Faddeev-Popov path integral quantization for gauge theories. With the goal of making a change of integration variable from $\mathcal{N}$ to $\mathcal{S}$ according to $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{N}$, the partition function can be written as

$$Z = \int (\mathcal{D}\mathcal{S})(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{N}) \det\left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_a}{\delta \mathcal{S}_b}\right) \delta(\mathcal{F}_a(\mathcal{S}) - \mathcal{N}_a) \, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\int dt \sum_a \mathcal{N}_a^2}. \tag{51}$$

From a fermionic integral representation of the Faddeev-Popov-like determinant,

$$\det\left(\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}_a}{\delta\mathcal{S}_b}\right) = \int(\mathcal{D}\Psi)(\mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi})\exp\left[\int dtdt'\sum_{a,b}\bar{\Psi}_a\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}_a}{\delta\mathcal{S}_b}\,\Psi_b\right], \tag{52}$$

with

$$\Psi_a \equiv \begin{cases}\psi_{\mathbf{r}}, & a=1,2,\cdots,N\\ \zeta, & a=N+1\end{cases} \quad\text{and}\quad \bar{\Psi}_a \equiv \begin{cases}\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}, & a=1,2,\cdots,N\\ \bar{\zeta}, & a=N+1\end{cases} \tag{53}$$

and the integral representation of the delta function,

$$\delta(\mathcal{F}_a-\mathcal{N}_a) = \int(\mathcal{D}\Lambda)\ \mathrm{e}^{-\int dt\sum_a\Lambda_a(\mathcal{F}_a-\mathcal{N}_a)}, \tag{54}$$

with

$$\Lambda_a \equiv \begin{cases}\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}, & a=1,2,\cdots,N\\ \alpha, & a=N+1,\end{cases} \tag{55}$$

the partition function acquires the form

$$\begin{aligned} Z \ &= \int(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{N})(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{S})(\mathcal{D}\Lambda)(\mathcal{D}\Psi)(\mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi}) \\ &\times\ \exp\left\{-\int dt\sum_a\left[\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{N}_a^2+\Lambda_a(\mathcal{F}_a-\mathcal{N}_a)\right]+\int dtdt'\sum_{a,b}\bar{\Psi}_a\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}_a}{\delta\mathcal{S}_b}\,\Psi_b\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

By integrating over the variable $\mathcal{N}$ (Gaussian) and using the fact that $\mathcal{N}_a=0$ for $a=N+1$, we have

$$Z = \int(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{S})(\mathcal{D}\Lambda)(\mathcal{D}\Psi)(\mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi})\exp\left[\int dt\sum_{a=1}^{N}\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_a^2-\int dt\sum_a\Lambda_a\mathcal{F}_a+\int dtdt'\sum_{a,b}\bar{\Psi}_a\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}_a}{\delta\mathcal{S}_b}\,\Psi_b\right]. \tag{57}$$

This result is the master functional and when written in terms of the components it becomes

$$Z = \int(\mathcal{D}S)(\mathcal{D}\lambda)(\mathcal{D}\sigma)(\mathcal{D}\alpha)(\mathcal{D}\psi)(\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi})(\mathcal{D}\zeta)(\mathcal{D}\bar{\zeta})\ \mathrm{e}^{-S_{mast}}, \tag{58}$$

with the master action

$$\begin{aligned} S_{mast} \ &= \int dt\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}^2+\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}\dot{S}_{\mathbf{r}}+\sum_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}K_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}S_{\mathbf{r}'}+\sigma\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}S_{\mathbf{r}}+\alpha\left(\sum_{\mathbf{r}}S_{\mathbf{r}}^2-N\right)\right. \\ &\left. -\ \sum_{\mathbf{r}}\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}\dot{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}-\sum_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}K_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}\psi_{\mathbf{r}'}-\sigma\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}\psi_{\mathbf{r}}-\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}\,S_{\mathbf{r}}\,\zeta-2\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\bar{\zeta}\,S_{\mathbf{r}}\,\psi_{\mathbf{r}}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Next, by integrating over the fields σ, α, ζ, and $\bar{\zeta}$ in equation (58) we end up with

$$Z=\int(\mathcal{D}S)(\mathcal{D}\lambda)(\mathcal{D}\psi)(\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi})\delta\left(\sum_{\mathbf{r}}S_{\mathbf{r}}^2-N\right)\delta\left(\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}S_{\mathbf{r}}\right)\delta\left(\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\psi_{\mathbf{r}}S_{\mathbf{r}}\right)\delta\left(\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}S_{\mathbf{r}}-\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}\psi_{\mathbf{r}}\right)$$
$$\times\ \exp\left[-\int dt\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}^2+\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}\dot{S}_{\mathbf{r}}+\sum_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}K_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}S_{\mathbf{r}'}-\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}\dot{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}-\sum_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}K_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}\psi_{\mathbf{r}'}\right)\right].\quad(60)$$

In order to cast this expression in the desired final form, we need to perform a shift in the auxiliary field in the functional integration $\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}\to\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}+\dot{S}_{\mathbf{r}}$ (that does not affect the constraint because $\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\dot{S}_{\mathbf{r}}S_{\mathbf{r}}=\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(\sum_{\mathbf{r}}S_{\mathbf{r}}^2)=0$) and also it is convenient to change $t\to -t$ in the action. After this, we get the partition function

$$Z=\int(\mathcal{D}S)(\mathcal{D}\lambda)(\mathcal{D}\psi)(\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi})\delta\left(\sum_{\mathbf{r}}S_{\mathbf{r}}^2-N\right)\delta\left(\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}S_{\mathbf{r}}\right)\delta\left(\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\psi_{\mathbf{r}}S_{\mathbf{r}}\right)\delta\left(\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}S_{\mathbf{r}}-\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}\psi_{\mathbf{r}}\right)$$
$$\times\ \exp\left[-\int dt\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}^2+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\dot{S}_{\mathbf{r}}^2+\sum_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}K_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}S_{\mathbf{r}'}+\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}\dot{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}-\sum_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}K_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}\psi_{\mathbf{r}'}\right)\right].\quad(61)$$

This expression is interesting due to the following reasons. First, we may read out the Euclidean Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_E=-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}^2+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\dot{S}_{\mathbf{r}}^2+\sum_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}K_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}S_{\mathbf{r}'}+\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}\dot{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}-\sum_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}K_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}\psi_{\mathbf{r}'},\quad(62)$$

that is invariant under the set of supersymmetry transformations

$$\delta_\epsilon S_{\mathbf{r}}=\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}\epsilon\quad\delta_\epsilon\psi_{\mathbf{r}}=\dot{S}_{\mathbf{r}}\epsilon+\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}\epsilon,\quad\delta_\epsilon\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}=0\quad\text{and}\quad\delta_\epsilon\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}=-\dot{\bar{\psi}}_{\mathbf{r}}\epsilon\quad(63)$$

and

$$\delta_{\bar{\epsilon}}S_{\mathbf{r}}=\bar{\epsilon}\psi_{\mathbf{r}},\quad\delta_{\bar{\epsilon}}\psi_{\mathbf{r}}=0,\quad\delta_{\bar{\epsilon}}\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}=-\dot{S}_{\mathbf{r}}\bar{\epsilon}+\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}\bar{\epsilon}\quad\text{and}\quad\delta_{\bar{\epsilon}}\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}=\bar{\epsilon}\dot{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}},\quad(64)$$

where ϵ and $\bar{\epsilon}$ are fermionic infinitesimal parameters. Moreover, the delta functions in the integrand impose the constraints

$$\sum_{\mathbf{r}}S_{\mathbf{r}}^2=N,\quad\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\psi_{\mathbf{r}}S_{\mathbf{r}}=0,\quad\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}S_{\mathbf{r}}=0,\quad\text{and}\quad\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}S_{\mathbf{r}}=\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{r}}\psi_{\mathbf{r}},\quad(65)$$

that turn out to be the supersymmetric constraint structure which are closed under (63) and (64). From these observations, we identify the model above obtained as an Euclidean off-shell version of the supersymmetric extension of the spherical model presented in [10]. In the later case, a supersymmetric version was constructed directly by adding fermionic degrees of freedom at each lattice site besides the bosonic ones with the purpose of studying its critical behavior at finite as well as zero temperature.

Here, in its turn, the supersymmetric version of the quantum spherical model arises from the classical model by means of the stochastic quantization prescription. This comes from the construction of a $D+1$-dimensional theory from a D-dimensional one adapted to constrained systems and with the properly identification of the fictitious time as the ordinary time. Interesting enough it is the arising of the precise supersymmetric structure of the constraints. Finally, it is worth to say that this structure can straightforwardly be put in a superspace formulation, as presented in [10].

V. SUMMARY

In this work, we reported some results about the stochastic quantization of the spherical model. We started by reviewing some basic aspects of this method with emphasis in the connection between the Langevin equation and the supersymmetric quantum mechanics, aiming at the application of the corresponding connection to the spherical model. An intuitive idea is that when applied to the spherical model this gives rise to a supersymmetric version that is identified with one studied in [10]. Before investigating in detail this aspect, we studied the stochastic quantization of the mean spherical model that is simpler to implement than the one with the strict constraint. We also highlight some points concerning more traditional methods discussed in the literature like canonical and path integral quantization.

To produce a supersymmetric version, grounded in the Nicolai map, we investigated the stochastic quantization of the strict spherical model. We showed in fact that the result of this process is an off-shell supersymmetric extension of the quantum spherical model (with the precise supersymmetric constraint structure). That analysis establishes a connection between the classical model and its supersymmetric quantum counterpart. The supersymmetric version in this way constructed is a more natural one and gives further support and motivations to investigate similar connections in other models of the literature.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Prof. S. R. Salinas for reading the manuscript, very useful discussions and criticism. This work was partially supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP).

[1] G. Parisi and Y. S. Wu, *Perturbation Theory Without Gauge Fixing*, Sci. Sinica 24, 483, (1981).

[2] A. A. Migdal, *Stochastic Quantization of Field Theory*, Sov. Phys. Usp. 29, 389, (1986).

[3] P. H. Damgaard and H. Huffel, *Stochastic Quantization*, Phys. Rep. 152, 227, (1987).

[4] M. Namiki, *Stochastic Quantization*, Lectures Notes in Physics, Spinger-Verlag, Berlin, (1992).

[5] R. Dijkgraaf, D. Orlando, and S. Reffert, *Relating Field Theories via Stochastic Quantization*, Nucl. Phys. B 824, 365, (2010), arXiv:0903.0732.

[6] T. H. Berlin and M. Kac, *The Spherical Model of a Ferromagnet*, Phys. Rev. 86, 821 (1952).

[7] G. Obermair, *Dynamical Aspects of Critical Phenomena*, edited by J. I. Budnick and M. P. Kawara (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1972), p. 137.

[8] Th. M. Nieuwenhuizen, *Quantum description of spherical spins*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4293, (1995), arXiv:cond-mat/9408055.

[9] T. Vojta, *Quantum version of a spherical model: Crossover from quantum to classical critical behavior*, Phys. Rev. B 53, 710, (1996).

[10] P. R. S. Gomes, P. F. Bienzobaz, and M. Gomes, *Supersymmetic Extension of the Quantum Spherical Model*, Phys. Rev. E 85, 061109, (2012), arXiv:1203.5074.

[11] H. Nicolai, *Supersymmetry and spin systems*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., Vol. 9, 1497, (1976).

[12] E. Witten, *Dynamical Breaking of Supersymmetry*, Nucl. Phys. B 188, 513 (1981).

[13] E. Gozzi, *Functional-integral approach to Parisi-Wu stochastic quantization: Scalar theory*, Phys. Rev. D, 28, 1922, (1983).

[14] H. Nicolai, *Supersymmetry and Functional Integration Measures*, Nucl. Phys. B 176, 419, (1980).

[15] H. Chamati, E. S. Pisanova, and N. S. Tonchev, *Theory of a spherical-quantum-rotors model: Low-temperature regime and finite-size scaling*, Phys. Rev. B 57, 5798, (1998), arXiv:cond-mat/9701159.

[16] M. H. Oliveira, E. P. Raposo, and M. D. Coutinho Filho, *Quantum spherical spin model on hypercubic lattices*, Phys. Rev. B 74, 184101, (2006).

[17] P. F. Bienzobaz and S. R. Salinas, *Quantum spherical model with competing interactions*, Physica A, 391, 6399, (2012), arXiv:1203.4073.

[18] C. Godrèche and J. M. Luck, *Response of non-equilibrium systems at criticality: ferromagnetic models in dimension two and above*, J. Phys. A 33, 9141 (2000), arXiv:cond-mat/0001264.

[19] S. A. Cannas, D. A. Stariolo, and F. A. Tamarit, *Dynamics of ferromagnetic spherical spin models with power law interactions: exact solution*, Physica A 294, 362 (2001), arXiv:cond-mat/0010319.

[20] M. Paessens and M. Henkel, *The kinetic spherical model in a magnetic field*, J. Phys. A 36, 8983, (2003), arXiv:cond-mat/0306171.

[21] M. O. Hase and S. R. Salinas, *Dynamics of a mean spherical model with competing interactions*, J.

Phys. A 39, 4875 (2006), arXiv:cond-mat/0512286.

[22] M. Namiki, I. Ohba, and K. Okano, *Stochastic Quantization of Constrained Systems*, Prog. Theor. Phys. 72, 350, (1984).

[23] J. Zinn-Justin, *Renormalization And Stochastic Quantization*, Nucl. Phys. B 275, 135, (1986).

[24] J. C. Brunelli and M. Gomes, *1/N expansion of the nonlinear σ model and its renormalization through stochastic quantization*, Phys. Rev. D 46, 2617, (1992).